

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/704,256

Applicant(s)

HUISH ET AL.

Examiner

Gregory R. Del Cotto

Art Unit

1751

## All Participants:

(1) Gregory R. Del Cotto.

(2) Mark Sandbaken.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 9 March 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Emery et al (US 6,303,558)*


## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant agreed to make the changes as indicated in the attached Examiner's Amendment*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)